From: Scully, Pam
To: Gupta, Prashant K

Subject: FW: 95% Design Comments

Date: Monday, November 22, 2021 10:57:00 AM

Prashant,

I agree that a two week extension to the due date for responding to comments on the 95% Remedial Design (excluding Appendix I) for OU1 of the LCP Chemicals Georgia Superfund Site is reasonable given the extent of the comments. A December 20, 2021 due date is acceptable to the EPA. As you noted, Appendix I (Long-Term Monitoring Plan) will take additional time to develop a plan acceptable to the EPA. A meeting between the EPA, GAEPD, and Honeywell should be held to resolve concerns about Appendix I in December or early January.

Regards,

Pam

Pamela J. Langston Scully, PE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 61 Forsyth Street, SW Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Office: (404)562-8935

Mobile: (404)661-7378 <u>Scully.pam@epa.gov</u>

From: Gupta, Prashant K < Prashant.Gupta@Honeywell.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 3:26 PM

To: Scully, Pam <<u>scully.pam@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: 95% Design Comments

Pam,

As a follow-up to our call this morning, I wanted to send our proposed dates for responding to EPA's comments on the Comments on Initial (95%) Remedial Design, which EPA provided on November 5, 2021. Per the Consent Decree, Honeywell's responses would be due December 6, 2021. Given the scope and breadth of EPA's comments, we would propose responding to those comments by December 20, 2021 (a two week extension). We anticipate providing a response to comments along with a revised document that meets the 100% design document requirement by that date.

In addition, EPA's cover letter transmitting the comments states as follows:

Comments on the Appendix I - Long-Term Monitoring Plan are provided in a separate enclosure. This may allow Honeywell to finalize other portions of the Remedial Design so

construction contracting efforts can continue to progress while monitoring concerns are resolved. It might be helpful to schedule a meeting about the Long-Term Monitoring Plan after you have had a chance to review the comments.

We are still reviewing those comments and agree with EPA that a call as a next step makes sense. I propose that we look to mid-December or early January for that call. During that call we can determine next steps, including a time-frame for responding and resolving the comments on Appendix I. That way, we keep things moving on the Remedial Design and do not slow down the progress on starting construction on the remedy in 2022.

Please let me know your thoughts

Thanks,

Prashant K. Gupta
Senior Remediation Manager
Honeywell
Remediation and Redevelopment Group
973-722-1656 (cell)

<u>Prashant.Gupta@Honeywell.com</u> www.Honeywell.com